arXiv:1610.05242v1 [math.NT] 17 Oct 2016

TRANSCENDENCE OF THE HODGE-TATE FILTRATION

SEAN HOWE

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Recollections 4
3. Proofs 4
4. Generalizations 6
References 7

Abstract. For C a complete algebraically closed extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we show that a one-dimensional p-divisible group $G/\mathcal{O}_C$ can be defined over a complete discretely valued subfield $L \subset C$ with Hodge-Tate period ratios contained in L if and only if G has CM, if and only if the period ratios generate an extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of degree equal to the height of the connected part of G. This is a p-adic analog of a classical transcendence result of Schneider which states that for τ in the complex upper half plane, τ and $j(\tau)$ are simultaneously algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ if and only if τ is contained in a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. We also briefly discuss a conjectural generalization to shtukas with one paw.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Transcendence of τ.** An elliptic curve E over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a basis for its integral Betti homology gives rise to a point

$$[\tau : 1] \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm} = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \backslash \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

describing the position of the Hodge filtration on $H_1(E(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{C})$ with respect to the fixed basis (thus, τ is the ratio of two periods of a non-zero holomorphic differential on E). The $GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-orbits on this set are in bijection with the isomorphism classes of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$. These isomorphism classes are also parameterized by an algebraic modulus, the j-invariant, which has the property that the elliptic curve E_τ corresponding to τ has a model over the field $\mathbb{Q}(j(\tau))$. A classical transcendence result of Schneider [5] gives:

Theorem 1.1 (Schneider [5])**.** *For $\tau \in \mathbb{H}^{\pm}$, the following are equivalent:*

(1) τ and $j(\tau)$ are both in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.
(2) $[\mathbb{Q}(\tau) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.
(3) E_τ has CM.

1.2. **An analog for p-divisible groups.** In this note, we prove a p-adic analog of Theorem 1.1, where the role of elliptic curves is taken up by one-dimensional p-divisible groups, $\mathbb{C}$ is replaced by a complete algebraically closed $C/\mathbb{Q}_p$, and $\mathbb{Q}$ is replaced by any complete discretely valued subfield of C (e.g., $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or $\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\mathrm{un}}}$, the completion of the maximal unramified extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$).

If G is a one-dimensional p-divisible group of height n over $\mathcal{O}_C$, its Tate module

$$T_pG := \varprojlim G[p^n](C)$$

is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank n. It is equipped with a one-step Hodge-Tate filtration

$$0 \to \mathrm{Lie}G(1) \to T_pG \otimes C \to \omega_{G^\vee} \to 0$$

with $\dim_C \mathrm{Lie}G = 1$, giving a point

$$\mathrm{HT}(G) \in \mathbb{P}(T_pG \otimes C(-1)).$$

Following Tate [8], we can describe $\mathrm{HT}(G)$ explicitly: Denoting by $G^\vee$ the dual p-divisible group, there is a map

$$\mathrm{HT} : T_pG^\vee \to \omega_G$$

given by viewing an element of $T_pG^\vee$ as a map from G to μ_{p^∞} and pulling back the invariant differential $\frac{dt}{t}$. If we fix a basis $e_1, .., e_n$ for $T_pG(-1)$ giving an identification

$$\mathbb{P}(T_pG \otimes C(-1)) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(C)$$

and a basis ∂ for $\mathrm{Lie}G$, we have

$$\mathrm{HT}(G) = [(HT(e_1^*), \partial) : \ (HT(e_2^*), \partial) : ... : (HT(e_n^*), \partial)]$$

where e_i^* is the dual basis for $T_pG^\vee$. Thus, in this presentation the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathrm{HT}(G)$ are the Hodge-Tate periods of ∂. The field of definition $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G))$, which depends only on G, is generated by the ratios of these periods.

For a p-divisible group $G/\mathcal{O}_C$, we denote by G° the connected part of G, and by $\mathrm{ht}G$ the height of G. We say G has CM if $\mathrm{End}(G) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ contains a commutative semisimple algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of rank equal to $\mathrm{ht}G$. Our main result is

Theorem 1.2. *Let $G/\mathcal{O}_C$ be a one-dimensional p-divisible group of height n. The following are equivalent:*

1. *There is a complete discretely valued $L \subset C$ such that G can be defined over $\mathcal{O}_L$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G)) \subset L$.*
2. *$[\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G)) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = \mathrm{ht}G^\circ$.*
3. *G has CM.*

Remark 1.3. When the conditions of Theorem 1.2 hold, one can always find a model of G over a complete discretely valued L with CM, however it is not true that *every* such model will have CM, even allowing for a finite extension of L. For example, over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ the only extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ by μ_{p^∞} with CM will be those with Serre-Tate coordinate a root of unity, however, after passing to C all will have CM, as over $\mathcal{O}_C$ the extensions split.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.2 can be used to produce transcendental elements of C as follows: if L is a complete discretely valued extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and G is a formal group over $\mathcal{O}_L$ without CM, then we find that at least one of the period ratios of G is contained in $\widehat{\overline{L}}\backslash\overline{L}$ (since any algebraic extension of L is also discretely valued). The CM lifts of formal groups are well understood (cf. e.g. [3] and [11]) – in particular, using the Gross-Hopkins period map it is easy to see that "most" formal groups over complete discretely valued extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ do not have CM.

The main tools used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 are the Scholze-Weinstein [6] classification of p-divisible groups over $\mathcal{O}_C$ by the Hodge-Tate filtration, and Tate's [8] theorem on full-faithfulness of the Tate module of a p-divisible group over a complete discretely valued field. We recall both results in Section 2.

Remark 1.5. The Scholze-Weinstein classification identifies the set of isomorphism classes of 1-dimensional height n p-divisible groups over $\mathcal{O}_C$ with the set of $GL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$-orbits in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}(C)$. If we restrict to formal groups, then the Hodge-Tate filtration lies in the Drinfeld upper half space Ω_{n-1} (constructed by removing all lines that are contained in a proper rational subspace from $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$). In particular, for $n = 2$, we have

$$\Omega_1(C) = \mathbb{P}^1(C) - \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

which we can write with coordinate $[\tau_{\mathrm{HT}} : 1]$. Thus, for height 2 formal groups Theorem 1.2 becomes a precise analog of Theorem 1.1 – the role of the j-invariant is played by the explicit statement that G can be defined over $\mathcal{O}_L$.

1.3. **Period mappings.** No function playing the role of the j-invariant appears in Theorem 1.1 because $\mathbb{P}^n$ is not a well-behaved moduli space for the p-divisible groups we consider. After restricting to formal groups we can remedy this: let G_0 be the unique one-dimensional height n formal group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$, and let LT_n^∞ denote the infinite level Lubin-Tate space parameterizing deformations of G_0 with a basis of the Tate module, which is a preperfectoid space over $\mathrm{Frac}W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p})$ by work of Weinstein [10]. It admits two period maps (cf. [6]) – the Hodge-Tate period map

$$\pi_{\mathrm{HT}} : \mathrm{LT}_n^\infty \to \Omega_{n-1},$$

which is described on C-points as above, and the Gross-Hopkins [4] period map

$$\pi_{\mathrm{GH}} : \mathrm{LT}_n^\infty \to \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

The Gross-Hopkins period map factors through level zero Lubin-Tate space, and remembers the field of definition of a deformation of G_0 up to a finite extension. Fixing an embedding $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}) \to C$, we thus obtain

Corollary 1.6. *For $x \in \mathrm{LT}_n^\infty(C)$ with corresponding formal group $G_x/\mathcal{O}_C$, the following are equivalent:*

- *There is a complete discretely valued $L \subset C$ such that $\pi_{\mathrm{GH}}(x) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}(L)$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}(x) \in \Omega_{n-1}(L)$.*
- *$[\mathbb{Q}_p(\pi_{\mathrm{HT}}(x)) : \mathbb{Q}_p] = n$.*
- *G_x has CM.*

1.4. **Outline.** In Section 2 we recall some results on p-divisible groups. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6. In Section 4 we briefly discuss a conjectural generalization to higher dimensional p-divisible groups and shtukas with one paw.

1.5. **Acknowledgements.** We thank Jared Weinstein for a helpful conversation about an earlier version of Theorem 1.2 and possible generalizations. We thank Matt Emerton for some helpful comments on an earlier version of this note.

2. Recollections

In this section we recall two theorems on p-divisible groups:

Theorem 2.1 (Tate [8], Theorem 4)**.** *For L a complete discretely valued extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the functor*

$$G \mapsto T_p G$$

is fully faithful from the category of p-divisible groups over $\mathcal{O}_L$ to the category of free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules with $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$-action.

Theorem 2.2 (Scholze-Weinstein [6], Theorem B)**.** *For C a complete algebraically closed extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the functor*

$$G \mapsto (T_p G \otimes C, \mathrm{Lie} G \subset T_p G \otimes C(-1))$$

is an equivalence between the category of p-divisible groups over $\mathcal{O}_C$ and the category of pairs (M, Fil) consisting of a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module M of finite rank and a C-vector subspace $\mathrm{Fil} \subset M \otimes C(-1)$.

Remark 2.3. We note that for 1-dimensional p-divisible formal groups, Theorem 2.2 is due to Fargues [2].

3. Proofs

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2 and then deduce Corollary 1.6 from it. The key observation in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is that the combination of Tate's theorem (Theorem 2.1 above) and the fact that the Hodge-Tate filtration is determined by the Galois representation put strong restrictions on the field of definition of the Hodge-Tate filtration for a p-divisible group over a complete discretely valued field.

To prove Theorem 1.2, we will need a linear algebra lemma. For F'/F an extension of fields, V a finite dimensional vector space over F, and $W \subset V \otimes_F F'$ a one-dimensional subspace, we will denote by $F(W)$ the field of definition of W inside of F'. It is the smallest field $M \subset F'$ such that there exists a subspace $W_M \subset V \otimes_F M$ with $W_M \otimes_M F = W$. Equivalently, it is the field generated by the ratios of the homogeneous coordinates of W as a point in $\mathbb{P}(V \otimes F')$ with respect to a fixed F-basis of V.

Lemma 3.1. *Let V be a finite dimensional vector space over a separable field F, and let F'/F be an extension. If*

$$W \subset V \otimes F'$$

is a line whose conjugates under $\mathrm{Aut}(F'/F)$ span $V \otimes F'$, then the stabilizer of W in $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$ is isomorphic to a subfield $K \subset F(W)$ such that $[K : F] \leqslant \dim V$. Furthermore, in this situation $K = F(W)$ if and only if $[F(W) : F] = \dim V$ if and only if $[K : F] = \dim V$.

Proof. We denote $d = [F(W) : F]$ (which could be ∞), and $n = \dim V$. We denote by K the stabilizer of W in $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$.

The action of K on the line

$$W_{F(W)} := W \cap V \otimes_F F(W)$$

induces a map from K to $F(W)$. It is an injection because any element of $\mathrm{End}_F(V)$ that acts as zero on W also acts as zero on all of its conjugates under $\mathrm{Aut}(F'/F)$,

which span $V \otimes_F F'$. Thus we obtain $K \hookrightarrow F(W)$. In particular, K is a field. Then V is a K-vector space, so we find $[K : F] \leqslant n$.

We now show $[K : F] = n$ if and only if $K = F(W)$ if and only if $[F(W) : F] = n$.

Suppose $[K : F] = n$. Then, V is isomorphic to K as a K-module. Because F is separable we find $V \otimes F'$ splits into n distinct characters of K, and thus these are the only lines stabilized by K. Each of these lines is defined over an extension of degree n of F, so that $[F(W) : F] \leqslant n$. Since $K \subset F(W)$, $[F(W) : F] = n$, and $K = F(W)$.

Suppose $K = F(W)$. Because W has n distinct conjugates, we have $d \geqslant n$. Because $[K : F] \leqslant n$, we have $d \leqslant n$. Thus $d = n$, and $[K : F] = [F(W) : F] = n$.

Suppose $[F(W) : F] = n$. It suffices to show $F(W) \hookrightarrow K$, since that implies $[K : F] \geqslant n$, and we obtain $[K : F] = n$ and $K = F(W)$. We observe

$$V^* \cong V^* \otimes F(W)/W^{\perp}_{F(W)}$$

as F vector spaces, since both have dimension n, and the map is injective (if it were not, there would be a linear form on V defined over F which vanished on W, thus the conjugates of W could not span $V \otimes F'$). The right hand side is a $F(W)$-vector space, so this equips V^* with an action of $F(W)$ preserving $W^{\perp}$, and thus dually equips V with an action of $F(W)$ preserving W. This give the desired inclusion $F(W) \hookrightarrow K$. □

Remark 3.2. We will use Lemma 3.3 in the proof of Theorem 1.2 to force the image of the Galois representation on the Tate module of a formal group to be abelian. For higher dimension formal groups this step breaks down because for a higher dimensional filtration the stabilizers as in Lemma 3.3 are no longer necessarily commutative.

The following lemma will also be useful:

Lemma 3.3. *If $G/\mathcal{O}_C$ is a p-divisible group, then the conjugates of* Lie$G$ *under* $\mathrm{Aut}(C/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ *span* $T_pG^\circ(-1) \otimes C$.

Proof. The span of the conjugates is always contained in $T_pG^\circ(-1) \otimes C$, and thus we may assume G is connected. Let W' be the span of the conjugates of $W = \mathrm{Lie}G$ in $T_pG(-1) \otimes C$, and let $W'_0 = W' \cap T_pG(-1)$. W'_0 is a free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank $\dim W'$, saturated in $T_pG(-1)$ (here we use that $C^{\mathrm{Aut}(C/\mathbb{Q}_p)} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ to deduce that a subspace fixed by $\mathrm{Aut}(C/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is $\mathbb{Q}_p$-rational). By the Scholze-Weinstein classification (Theorem 2.2),

$$T_pG \to T_pG/W'_0(1)$$

induces a surjection from G to a group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{\mathrm{ht}G - \dim W'}$. Because G is connected, we deduce $\mathrm{ht}G = \dim W'$, as desired. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first reduce to the case G is connected (i.e. to G a formal group): Note that passing to the connected component G° does not change $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G))$, and that if G is defined over $\mathcal{O}_L$ as in (1), then so is the connected component of G. Thus, if we have (1) $\iff$ (2) for G connected, we obtain (1) $\iff$ (2) for all G. Furthermore, observe that as a consequence of the Scholze-Weinstein classfication (Theorem 2.2), any G over $\mathcal{O}_C$ splits as

$$G \cong G^\circ \times (\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^{n - \mathrm{ht}G^\circ}$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{End}(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{End}(G^\circ) & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p^{n-\mathrm{ht}G^\circ}, G^\circ) \\ 0 & M_{n-\mathrm{ht}G^\circ}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, the lower left is 0 because any map from G° to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ must send the Hodge-Tate filtration, and thus also all of its conjugates under $\mathrm{Aut}(C/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, to zero, and by Lemma 3.3 these span $T_pG^\circ(-1)\otimes C$. From this we see that (2) $\iff$ (3) for G connected implies (2) $\iff$ (3) for all G.

Thus from now on we assume G is connected.

Denote by W the Hodge-Tate filtration on $T_pG(-1)\otimes C$. By Lemma 3.3, the conjugates of W span $T_pG(-1)\otimes C$. Note $\mathbb{Q}_p(W)$ is the field $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G))$ in the statement of the theorem. Now, as a consequence of the Scholze-Weinstein classification (Theorem 2.2), $\mathrm{End}(G)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ is equal to the stabilizer of W in $\mathrm{End}(T_pG\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We then obtain (2) $\iff$ (3) from Lemma 3.1. In general, we denote this stabilizer, which is equal to $\mathrm{End}G\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$, by K. By Lemma 3.1, it is a field with $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]\leqslant n$.

We now show (1) $\implies$ (2). Assume (1), and fix a model over $\mathcal{O}_L$ for G. Because the L-semilinear action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$ on $T_pG(-1)\otimes L$ is in fact L-linear, the line W is preserved by the linear action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$. On the other hand, the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$ is also contained in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(T_pG(-1)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Thus, Galois acts through K, and Tate's theorem (Theorem 2.1) implies that the centralizer of K also acts by isogenies. So, we must have that K contains its centralizer in $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(T_pG\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)$, which implies that $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]=n$. Thus, by Lemma 3.1, $[\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathrm{HT}(G)):\mathbb{Q}_p]=n$.

Finally we show (3) $\implies$ (1). For a fixed $[K:\mathbb{Q}_p]=n$, our computations so far along with the Scholze-Weinstein classification (Theorem 2.2) show that isogeny classes of G with CM by an order in K correspond to orbits of $GL_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on $\Omega_{n-1}(K)$. There is a unique such orbit, corresponding to bases for $K/\mathbb{Q}_p$ up to $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ homothety. Any Lubin-Tate formal group $G/\mathcal{O}_K$ for K is contained in this isogeny class and any group isogenous to it is defined over a finite extension of K, thus we conclude any formal group with CM by K can in fact be defined over a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$.

□

Proof of Corollary 1.6. For a point $x\in \mathrm{LT}_n^\infty$, we will show (1) of Corollary 1.6 is equivalent to (1) of Theorem 1.2 for G_x. It suffices to show that if $\pi_{\mathrm{GH}}(x)$ is in a discretely valued extension L of $\mathrm{Frac}W(\overline{k})$ then G_x can be defined over a finite extension of L. For this, it suffices to show that the corresponding point in LT_n^0 is defined over a finite extension of L. As in [4], Corollary 23.21, π_{GH} is surjective (at level 0) on $\overline{L}$ points, and thus we conclude. □

4. Generalizations

In the archimedean setting, an analog of Theorem 1.1 holds for abelian varieties of higher dimension (cf. [1] and [7]). Our method of proof in the p-adic setting does not obviously generalize to p-divisible groups of dimension $\geqslant 1$ (cf. Remark 3.2), however, it is natural to conjecture the analogous result.

We might even go further: p-divisible groups give the simplest examples of shtukas with one paw as in [9]. A shtuka with one paw is equivalent to a pair consisting of a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space V and a $\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$-lattice $\mathcal{L}\subset V\otimes\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}$. The filtration induced by $\mathcal{L}$ on $V\otimes C$ (via specialization along the natural map $\theta:\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}}^+\to C$) is called the Hodge-Tate filtration.

A shtuka $(V, \mathcal{L})$ has CM if it admits endomorphisms by a semi-simple commutative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of rank equal to $\dim V$.

There is also a natural analog of being defined over a complete discretely valued subfield in this setting: Given a complete discretely valued $L \subset C$ and a deRham Galois representation V of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)$, we obtain a shtuka with one paw from the pair

$$(V, (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{dR}})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{L}/L)} \otimes_L \mathbb{B}^+_{\mathrm{dR}}).$$

We will say a shtuka with one paw is *arithmetic* if it is isomorphic to one of this form. We formulate the optimistic

Conjecture 4.1. *If G is an arithmetic shtuka with one paw with Hodge-Tate filtration defined over a complete discretely valued subfield of C then G has CM.*

Remark 4.2. For a CM shtuka, the Hodge-Tate filtration is algebraic since any sub-space preserved by the CM algebra K is a direct sum of the 1-dimensional character spaces for K.

References

[1] Paula Beazley Cohen. Humbert surfaces and transcendence properties of automorphic functions. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 26(3):987–1001, 1996. Symposium on Diophantine Problems (Boulder, CO, 1994).

[2] Laurent Fargues. Groupes analytiques rigides p-divisibles. *Mathematische Annalen*, to appear.

[3] Benedict H. Gross. On canonical and quasicanonical liftings. *Invent. Math.*, 84(2):321–326, 1986.

[4] M. J. Hopkins and B. H. Gross. Equivariant vector bundles on the Lubin-Tate moduli space. In *Topology and representation theory (Evanston, IL, 1992)*, volume 158 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 23–88. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[5] Theodor Schneider. Arithmetische Untersuchungen elliptischer Integrale. *Math. Ann.*, 113(1):1–13, 1937.

[6] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. Moduli of p-divisible groups. *Camb. J. Math.*, 1(2):145–237, 2013.

[7] Hironori Shiga and Jürgen Wolfart. Criteria for complex multiplication and transcendence properties of automorphic functions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 463:1–25, 1995.

[8] J. T. Tate. p-divisible groups. In *Proc. Conf. Local Fields (Driebergen, 1966)*, pages 158–183. Springer, Berlin, 1967.

[9] Jared Weinstein. Peter Scholze's lectures on p-adic geometry. http://math.bu.edu/people/jsweinst/Math274/ScholzeLectures.pdf.

[10] Jared Weinstein. Semistable models for modular curves of arbitrary level. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, to appear.

[11] Jiu-Kang Yu. On the moduli of quasi-canonical liftings. *Compositio Math.*, 96(3):293–321, 1995.

Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago IL 60637
E-mail address: seanpkh@gmail.com